CANCELLED

c.d. 100/-

3

Single Bench

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.(s) No. 4833 **/2005**

CANCELLED

CANCELLED

Petitioner : Smt. Sumangla Das
Aged about 85 years,
Widow of Mohan Lal Das
(Security Guard),
Rehabilitation Department,
Mana Camp, Raipur
R/o Block No. 7/42, Mana Camp
Raipur.

Versus

Respondents :1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through its Secretary
Rehabilitation Department,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 974/05
Presented by Shri Ashok Upadhyay
dated 09-03-05

2 The State of MP
Through its Commissioner
(Rehabilitation)
Vindhyachal Bhawan,
Bhopal (MP)

3. The Accounts Officer,
O/o Commissioner (Rehabilitation)
Vindhyachal Bhawan,
Bhopal (MP)

4. The Commandant
O/o Mana Camp
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

24

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P. 4833..... **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20.10.2005

W.P.No.4833/2005

Shri Gari Mukhopadhyay, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is mother of late Mohan Lal Das who was working on the substantive post of Security Guard with respondent No.3. He further submits that Mohan Lal Das died on 18.6.1985 during the course of his employment. He further submits that none of the service benefits of the deceased Mohan Lal Das have been paid to the petitioner for which the petitioner is legally entitled.

For the present, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a limited prayer that this petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned Secretary of respondent No.1 and the concerned Secretary may be directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel.

The same is allowed.

If so advised, it is directed, that the petitioner may file a detailed representation along with a copy of this order and a copy of writ petition before the concerned Secretary of respondent No.1

25

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP(S) 8833/05 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

and if such a representation is filed, the concerned Secretary or any other officer authorized by him, shall dispose of the same in an objective manner as early as possible preferably within a period of **four** months from the date of filing of such representation.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

sunita

23.11.05